The generalized Kupershmidt deformation for integrable bi-Hamiltonian systems

Yuqin Yao

Tsinghua University, Beijing, China (with Yunbo Zeng)

July, 2009



catalogue

- 1 Introduction
- 2 KdV6 equation
- 3 The generalized Kupershmidt deformed KdV hierarchy
- 4 The generalized Kupershmidt deformed Camassa-Holm equation
- 5 The generalized Kupershmidt deformed Boussinesq equation

Introduction

It is an effective approach to construct a new integrable system starting from a bi-Hamiltonian system.

- Fuchssteiner and Fokas (1981) showed that compatible symplectic structures lead to hereditary symmetries, which provides a method to construct a hierarchy of exactly solvable evolution equations.
- Olver and Rosenau(1996) demonstrated that most integrable bi-Hamiltonian systems are governed by a compatible trio of Hamiltonian structures, and their recombination leads to integrable hierarchies of nonlinear equations.
- Kupershmidt (2008) proposed the Kupershmidt deformation of the bi-Hamiltonian systems.

Recently, KdV6 equation attract more attentions. Karasu-Kalkani et al applied the Painleve analysis to the class of 6th-order nonlinear wave equation and they have found 4 cases that pass the Painleve test. Three of these were previously known, but the 4th one turned out to be new

$$(\partial_x^3 + 8u_x\partial_x + 4u_{xx})(u_t + u_{xxx} + 6u_x^2) = 0.$$
 (1)

This equation, as it stands, does not belong to any recognizable theory. In the variables $v=u_x,\ w=u_t+u_{xxx}+6u_x^2$, (1) is converted to

$$v_t + v_{xxx} + 12vv_x - w_x = 0,$$
 (2a)

$$w_{xxx} + 8vw_x + 4wv_x = 0, (2b)$$

which is referred as KdV6 equation.



- The authors found Lax pair and an auto-Bäcklund transformation for KdV6 equation, but they were unable to find higher symmetries and asked if higher conserved densities and a Hamiltonian formalism exist for KdV6 equation.
- Kundu A, Sahadevan R et al show that KdV6 equation possess infinitely many generalized symmetries, conserved quantities and a recursion operator.
- Kupershmidt described KdV6 equation as a nonholonomic perturbations of bi-Hamiltonian systems. By rescaling v and t in (2), one gets

$$u_t = 6uu_x + u_{xxx} - w_x, (3a)$$

$$w_{xxx} + 4uw_x + 2wu_x = 0, \tag{3b}$$

which can be converted into

$$u_t = B_1(\frac{\delta H_3}{\delta u}) - B_1(\omega), \tag{4a}$$

$$B_2(\omega) = 0, \tag{4b}$$

where

$$B_1 = \partial = \partial_x, \ B_2 = \partial^3 + 2(u\partial + \partial u)$$
 (5)

are the two standard Hamiltonian operators of the KdV hierarchy and $H_3=u^3-\frac{u_x^2}{2}$. (4) is called the Kupershmidt deformed system. In general, for a bi-Hamiltonian system

$$u_{t_n} = B_1(\frac{\delta H_{n+1}}{\delta u}) = B_2(\frac{\delta H_n}{\delta u})$$
 (6)

where B_1 and B_2 are the standard Hamiltonian operators.



The Kupershmidt deformation of the bi-Hamiltonian system (6) is constructed as follows

$$u_{t_n} = B_1(\frac{\delta H_{n+1}}{\delta u}) - B_1(\omega),$$

$$B_2(\omega) = 0.$$
 (7)

This deformation is conjectured to preserve integrability and the conjecture is verified in a few representative cases (Kupershmidt, 2008)

■ We show that the KdV6 equation is equivalent to the Rosochatius deformation of KdV equation with self-consistent sources. We also give the *t*-type bi-Hamiltonian formalism of KdV6 equation and some new solutions.

The KdV hierarchy read

$$u_{t_n} = B_1(\frac{\delta H_{n+1}}{\delta u}) = B_2(\frac{\delta H_n}{\delta u}), \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots$$
 (8)

where

$$B_{1} = \partial = \partial_{x}, \ B_{2} = \partial^{3} + 2(u\partial + \partial u)$$

$$H_{n+1} = -\frac{2}{2n+1}L^{n}u, \ L = -\frac{1}{4}\partial^{2} - u + \frac{1}{2}\partial^{-1}u_{x}.$$

For N distinct real λ_j , consider the spectral problem

$$\varphi_{jxx} + (u - \lambda_j)\varphi_j = 0, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, N.$$

It is easy to find that

$$\frac{\delta \lambda_j}{\delta u} = \varphi_j^2.$$



We generalize Kupershmidt deformation of KdV hierarchy

$$u_{t_n} = B_1(\frac{\delta H_{n+1}}{\delta u}) - B_1(\sum_{j=1}^N \omega_j), \tag{9a}$$

$$(B_2 - \lambda_j B_1)(\omega_j) = 0, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$
 (9b)

Since ω_j is at the same position as $\frac{\delta H_{n+1}}{\delta u}$, it is reasonable to take $\omega_j = \frac{\delta \lambda_j}{\delta u}$.

So the generalized Kupershmidt deformation for a bi-Hamiltonian systems is proposed as follows

$$u_{t_n} = B_1(\frac{\delta H_{n+1}}{\delta u} - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\delta \lambda_j}{\delta u}), \tag{10a}$$

$$(B_2 - \lambda_j B_1)(\frac{\delta \lambda_j}{\delta u}) = 0, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$
 (10b)

From (10b), we can obtain

$$\varphi_{jxx} + (u - \lambda_j)\varphi_j = \frac{\mu_j}{\varphi_j^3},$$

where μ_i , $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are integrable constants.

When n = 2, (10) gives rise to the generalized Kupershmidt deformed KdV equation

$$u_t = \frac{1}{4}(u_{xxx} + 6uu_x) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\varphi_j^2)_x,$$
 (11a)

$$\varphi_{jxx} + (u - \lambda_j)\varphi_j = \frac{\mu_j}{\varphi_j^3}, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, N$$
(11b)

which is just the Rosochatius deformation of KdV equation with self-consistent sources.



The Lax pair is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1} \\ \psi_{2} \end{pmatrix}_{x} = U \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1} \\ \psi_{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \lambda - u & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12a)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1} \\ \psi_{2} \end{pmatrix}_{t} = V \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1} \\ \psi_{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{u_{x}}{4} & -\lambda + \frac{u}{2} \\ -\lambda^{2} - \frac{u}{2}\lambda - \frac{u_{xx}}{4} - \frac{u^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi_{j}^{2} & \frac{u_{x}}{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_{j}} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{j}\varphi_{jx} & -\varphi_{j}^{2} \\ \varphi_{jx}^{2} + \frac{\mu_{j}}{\varphi_{j}^{2}} & -\varphi_{j}\varphi_{jx} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12b)$$

The generalized Kupershmidt deformed Camassa-Holm equation

The Camassa-Holm (CH) equation read

$$m_t = B_1 \frac{\delta H_1}{\delta u} = B_2 \frac{\delta H_0}{\delta u} = -2u_x m - u m_x, \quad m = u - u_{xx} + \omega$$
 (13)

where

$$\begin{split} B_1 &= -\partial + \partial^3, \ B_2 = m\partial + \partial m \\ H_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \int (u^2 + u_x^2) dx, \ H_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int (u^3 + u u_x^2) dx. \end{split}$$

The generalized Kupershmidt deformed Camassa-Holm equation

We have

$$\frac{\delta \lambda_j}{\delta \mathbf{m}} = \lambda_j \varphi_j^2.$$

The generalized Kupershmidt deformed CH equation is constructed as follows

$$m_{t} = B_{1}\left(\frac{\delta H_{1}}{\delta m} - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}} \frac{\delta \lambda_{j}}{\delta m}\right) = -2u_{x}m - um_{x} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} [(\varphi_{j}^{2})_{x} - (\varphi_{j}^{2})_{xxx}],$$
(14a)

$$(B_2 - \frac{1}{\lambda_j}B_1)(\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\frac{\delta\lambda_j}{\delta m}) = 0, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, N.$$
 (14b)

The generalized Kupershmidt deformed Camassa-Holm equation

(14b) gives
$$\varphi_{jxx} = \frac{1}{4}\varphi_j - \frac{1}{2}m\lambda_j\varphi_j + \frac{\mu_j}{\varphi_j^3}$$
.

So Eq.(14) gives the Kupershmidt deformed Camassa-Holm equation

$$m_t = -2u_x m - u m_x + \sum_{j=1}^{N} [(\varphi_j^2)_x - (\varphi_j^2)_{xxx}],$$
 (15a)

$$\varphi_{jxx} = \frac{1}{4}\varphi_j - \frac{1}{2}m\lambda_j\varphi_j + \frac{\mu_j}{\varphi_j^3}, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, N$$
 (15b)

which is called as the RD-CHESCS. Eq.(15) has the lax pair

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1} \\ \psi_{2} \end{pmatrix}_{x} = U \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1} \\ \psi_{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda m & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1} \\ \psi_{2} \end{pmatrix}_{t} = V \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1} \\ \psi_{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u_{x}}{4} - \frac{1}{4\lambda} + \frac{mu\lambda}{2} & -\frac{1}{\lambda} - u \\ -\frac{u_{x}}{2} & -\frac{u_{x}}{2} \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\lambda \lambda_{j}}{\lambda - \lambda_{j}} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{j}\varphi_{jx} & -\varphi_{j}^{2} \\ \varphi_{jx}^{2} + \frac{\mu_{j}}{\varphi_{j}^{2}} & -\varphi_{j}\varphi_{jx} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(16a)$$

The Boussinesq equation is

$$\begin{pmatrix} v \\ w \end{pmatrix}_{t} = B_{1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta H_{2}}{\delta v} \\ \frac{\delta H_{2}}{\delta w} \end{pmatrix} = B_{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta H_{1}}{\delta v} \\ \frac{\delta H_{1}}{\delta w} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2w_{x} \\ -\frac{2}{3}vv_{x} - \frac{1}{6}w_{xxx} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{17}$$

where

$$B_1 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \partial \\ \partial & 0 \end{array}\right),$$

$$B_{2} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2\partial^{3} + 2v\partial + v_{x} & 3w\partial + 2w_{x} \\ 3w\partial + w_{x} & -\frac{1}{6}(\partial^{5} + 5v\partial^{3} + \frac{15}{2}v_{x}\partial^{2} + \frac{9}{2}v_{xx}\partial + 4v^{2}\partial + v_{xxx} + 4vv_{x}) \end{pmatrix}$$

are the two standard Hamiltonian operators of the Boussinesq equation and

$$H_1 = \int w dx, \ H_2 = \int (\frac{1}{12}v_x^2 - \frac{1}{9}v^3 + w^2)dx.$$

From the following spectral problem and its adjoint spectral problem

$$\varphi_{jxxx} + v\varphi_{jx} + (\frac{1}{2}v_x + w)\varphi_j = \lambda\varphi_j, \tag{18a}$$

$$\varphi_{j\infty}^* + \nu \varphi_{jx}^* + (\frac{1}{2}\nu_x - w)\varphi_j^* = -\lambda \varphi_j^*, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, N.$$
 (18b)

we have

$$\frac{\delta \lambda_j}{\delta v} = \frac{3}{2} (\varphi_{jx} \varphi_j^* - \varphi_j \varphi_{jx}^*), \ \frac{\delta \lambda_j}{\delta w} = 3\varphi_j \varphi_j^*.$$

The generalized Kupershmidt deformed Boussinesq equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} v \\ w \end{pmatrix}_{t} = B_{1} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta H_{2}}{\delta v} \\ \frac{\delta H_{2}}{\delta w} \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta \lambda_{j}}{\delta v} \\ \frac{\delta \lambda_{j}}{\delta w} \end{pmatrix} \right), \tag{19a}$$

$$(B_2 - \lambda_j B_1) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta \lambda_j}{\delta y} \\ \frac{\delta \lambda_j}{\delta x_j} \end{pmatrix} = 0, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, N.$$
 (19b)

By the complicated computation, from (19) we obtain

the generalized Kupershmidt deformed Boussinesq equation

$$v_t = 2w_x - 3\sum_{j=1}^{N} (\varphi_j \varphi_j^*)_x,$$
 (20a)

$$w_{t} = -\frac{1}{6}(4vv_{x} + v_{xxx}) - \frac{3}{2}(\varphi_{jxx}\varphi_{j}^{*} - \varphi_{j}\varphi_{jxx}^{*}),$$
 (20b)

$$\varphi_{jxxx} + v\varphi_{jx} + (\frac{1}{2}v_x + w)\varphi_j = \lambda_j\varphi_j, \qquad (20c)$$

$$\varphi_{jxxx}^* + v\varphi_{jx}^* + (\frac{1}{2}v_x - w)\varphi_j^* = -\lambda_j\varphi_j^*, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, N$$
 (20d)

which just is the Boussinesq equation with self-consistent sources.

Lax representation

$$L_t = \left[\partial^2 + \frac{2}{3}v + \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j \partial^{-1} \varphi_j^*, L\right]$$
 (21a)

$$L\psi = (\partial^3 + v\partial + \frac{1}{2}v_x + w)\psi = \lambda\psi, \tag{21b}$$

$$\psi_t = (\partial^2 + \frac{2}{3}v + \sum_{i=1}^N \varphi_i \partial^{-1} \varphi_i^*) \psi. \tag{21c}$$

The JM hierarchy is

$$\begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}_{t_n} = B_1 \begin{pmatrix} b_{n+2} \\ b_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = B_1 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta H_{n+1}}{\delta q} \\ \frac{\delta H_{n+1}}{\delta r} \end{pmatrix} = B_2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta H_n}{\delta q} \\ \frac{\delta H_n}{\delta r} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$B_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2\partial \\ 2\partial & -q_{x} - 2q\partial \end{pmatrix}, \ B_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\partial & 0 \\ 0 & r_{x} + 2r\partial - \frac{1}{2}\partial^{3} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} b_{n+2} \\ b_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = L \begin{pmatrix} b_{n+1} \\ b_{n} \end{pmatrix}, \ n = 1, 2, \cdots$$
$$b_{0} = b_{1} = 0, \ b_{2} = -1, \ H_{n} = \frac{1}{n-1}(2b_{n+2} - qb_{n+1}).$$

Similarly, the generalized Kupershmidt deformed JM hierarchy is constructed as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}_{t_n} = B_1 \left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta H_{n+1}}{\delta q} \\ \frac{\delta H_{n+1}}{\delta r} \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta \lambda_j}{\delta q} \\ \frac{\delta \lambda_j}{\delta r} \end{pmatrix} \right), \tag{22a}$$

$$(B_2 - \lambda_j B_1) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta \lambda_j}{\delta q} \\ \frac{\delta \lambda_j}{\delta r} \end{pmatrix} = 0, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, N.$$
 (22b)

(22b) leads to

$$\varphi_{2jx} = (-\lambda_j^2 + \lambda_j q + r)\varphi_{1j} + \frac{\mu_j}{\varphi_{1j}^3}, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, N.$$

Then Eqs.(22) with n = 3 gives rise to the generalized Kupershmidt deformed JM equation

$$q_{t} = -r_{x} - \frac{3}{2}qq_{x} + 2\sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi_{1j}\varphi_{2j}, \qquad (23a)$$

$$r_{t} = \frac{1}{4}q_{xxx} - q_{x}r - \frac{1}{2}qr_{x} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} [2(\lambda_{j} - q)\varphi_{1j}\varphi_{2j} - \frac{1}{2}q_{x}\varphi_{1j}^{2}], \qquad (23b)$$

$$\varphi_{1jx} = \varphi_{2j}, \ \varphi_{2jx} = (-\lambda_{j}^{2} + \lambda_{j}q + r)\varphi_{1j} + \frac{\mu_{j}}{\varphi_{1j}^{3}} \ j = 1, 2, \dots, N \qquad (23c)$$

which just is the RD-JMESCS

Eq.(23) has the Lax representation (12a) with

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\lambda^2 + \lambda q + r & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4}q_x & -\lambda - \frac{1}{2}q \\ \lambda^3 - \frac{1}{2}q\lambda^2 - (\frac{1}{2}q^2 + r)\lambda + \frac{1}{4}q_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}qr & -\frac{1}{4}q_x \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \lambda \langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle - \langle \Lambda \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle - q \langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_j} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1j}\phi_{2j} & -\phi_{1j}^2 \\ \phi_{2j}^2 + \frac{\mu_j}{\phi_{1j}^2} & -\phi_{1j}\phi_{2j} \end{pmatrix}$$

Denote the inner product in \mathbb{R}^N by $\langle .,. \rangle$ and

$$\Phi_i = (\varphi_{i1}, \varphi_{i2}, \cdots, \varphi_{iN})^T, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad \mu = (\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_N)^T, \quad \Lambda = diag(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_N)$$

Eq.(23) can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}_{t} = B_{1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{8}q_{xx} - \frac{3}{4}qr - \frac{5}{16}q^{3} + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Lambda\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{1} \rangle \\ -\frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8}q^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi_{1}, \Phi_{1} \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$
(24a)
$$\varphi_{1jx} = \varphi_{2j}, \ \varphi_{2jx} = -\lambda_{j}^{2}\varphi_{1j} + q\lambda_{j}\varphi_{1j} + r\varphi_{1j} + \frac{\mu_{j}}{\varphi_{1}^{3}}.$$
(24b)

Notices that Kernel of B_1 is $(c_1 + \frac{1}{2}qc_2, c_2)^T$, we may rewrite (24a) as

$$\frac{1}{8}q_{xx} - \frac{3}{4}qr - \frac{5}{16}q^3 + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Lambda \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle = c_1 + \frac{1}{2}qc_2, -\frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8}q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle = c_2 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8}q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle = c_2 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8}q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle = c_2 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8}q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle = c_2 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8}q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle = c_2 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8}q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle = c_2 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8}q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle = c_2 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8}q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle = c_2 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8}q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle = c_2 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8}q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle = c_2 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8}q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle = c_2 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8}q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle = c_2 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8}q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle = c_2 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8}q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle = c_3 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8}q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle = c_3 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8}q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle = c_3 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{1}$$

$$c_{1x} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_t(r + \frac{1}{4}q^2), \ c_{2x} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_t q.$$
 (25b)

By introducing $q_1 = q$, $p_1 = -\frac{1}{8}q_x$,

Eqs. (24b) and (25b) give rise to the t-type Hamiltonian form

$$R_{\rm x} = G_1 \frac{\delta F_1}{\delta P_1},\tag{26a}$$

where

$$R = (\Phi_{1}^{T}, q_{1}, \Phi_{2}^{T}, p_{1}, c_{1}, c_{2})^{T},$$

$$F_{1} = -4p_{1}^{2} - \frac{1}{16}q_{1}^{4} - \frac{1}{2}q_{1}^{2}c_{2} + q_{1}c_{1} - c_{2}^{2} + \frac{3}{8}q_{1}^{2}\langle\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{1}\rangle - \frac{1}{2}q_{1}\langle\Lambda\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{1}\rangle$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\langle\Phi_{2}, \Phi_{2}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle\Lambda^{2}\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{1}\rangle + c_{2}\langle\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{1}\rangle - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\varphi_{1j}^{4} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\mu_{j}}{\varphi_{1j}^{2}},$$
(26b)

and the t- type Hamiltonian operator G_1 is given by

$$G_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{(N+1)\times(N+1)} & 0 & 0\\ -I_{(N+1)\times(N+1)} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\partial_{t}\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\partial_{t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (26c)

The Rosochatius deformation of MJM equation with self-consistent sources (RD-MJMSCS) is defined as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{r} \\ \tilde{q} \end{pmatrix}_t = \tilde{B}_1 \left(\frac{\delta \tilde{H}_2}{\delta \tilde{u}} + \frac{\delta \lambda}{\delta \tilde{u}} \right) = \tilde{B}_1 \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{q}_x - \tilde{q} \tilde{r} + \langle \tilde{\Phi}_1, \tilde{\Phi}_2 \rangle \\ -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{r}^2 - \frac{3}{8} \tilde{q}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{r}_x + \frac{1}{2} \langle \tilde{\Phi}_1, \tilde{\Phi}_1 \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$
 (27a)
$$\tilde{\varphi}_{1jx} = -\tilde{r} \tilde{\varphi}_{1j} + \lambda_j \tilde{\varphi}_{2j}, \ \tilde{\varphi}_{2jx} = -\lambda_j \tilde{\varphi}_{1j} + \tilde{q} \tilde{\varphi}_{1j} + \tilde{r} \tilde{\varphi}_{2j} + \frac{\mu_j}{\lambda_j \tilde{\varphi}_{1j}^3}.$$
 (27b)
$$\text{where } \tilde{B}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \partial & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \partial \end{pmatrix}, \ \tilde{H}_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{q}_x \tilde{r} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{q} \tilde{r}^2 - \frac{1}{8} \tilde{q}^3.$$

Since the Kernel of \tilde{B}_1 is $(\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2)^T$, let

$$\begin{split} -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{q}_{x}-\tilde{q}\tilde{r}+\langle\tilde{\Phi}_{1},\tilde{\Phi}_{2}\rangle&=\tilde{c}_{1},\ -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{r}^{2}-\frac{3}{8}\tilde{q}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\tilde{r}_{x}+\frac{1}{2}\langle\tilde{\Phi}_{1},\tilde{\Phi}_{1}\rangle&=\tilde{c}_{2},\\ \tilde{q}_{1}&=\tilde{q},\ \tilde{p}_{1}=-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{r},\ \tilde{R}=(\tilde{\Phi}_{1}^{T},\tilde{q}_{1},\tilde{\Phi}_{2}^{T},\tilde{p}_{1},\tilde{c}_{1},\tilde{c}_{2})^{T}, \end{split}$$

then RD-MJMSCS (27) can be written as a t-type Hamiltonian system

$$\begin{split} \tilde{R}_{x} &= \tilde{G}_{1} \frac{\delta \tilde{F}_{1}}{\delta \tilde{R}} \\ \tilde{F}_{1} &= -2 \tilde{p}_{1} \tilde{c}_{1} + \tilde{q}_{1} \tilde{c}_{2} + 2 \tilde{p}_{1}^{2} \tilde{q}_{1} + \frac{1}{8} \tilde{q}_{1}^{3} + 2 \tilde{p}_{1} \langle \tilde{\Phi}_{1}, \tilde{\Phi}_{2} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{q}_{1} \langle \tilde{\Phi}_{1}, \tilde{\Phi}_{1} \rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \langle \Lambda \tilde{\Phi}_{2}, \tilde{\Phi}_{2} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \Lambda \tilde{\Phi}_{1}, \tilde{\Phi}_{1} \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\mu_{j}}{2 \lambda_{j} \tilde{\varphi}_{1j}^{2}}, \end{split} \tag{28b}$$

$$\tilde{G}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{(N+1)\times(N+1)} & 0 & 0\\ -I_{(N+1)\times(N+1)} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 2\partial_{t} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\partial_{t} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (28c)

The Miura map relating systems (26) and (28), i.e. $R = M(\tilde{R})$, is given by

$$\Phi_1 = \tilde{\Phi}_1, \ \Phi_2 = \Lambda \tilde{\Phi}_2 + 2\tilde{p}_1 \tilde{\Phi}_1, \tag{29a}$$

$$q_1 = \tilde{q}_1, \ p_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{q}_1\tilde{p}_1 - \frac{1}{4}\langle \tilde{\Phi}_1, \tilde{\Phi}_2 \rangle + \frac{1}{4}\tilde{c}_1,$$
 (29b)

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1 + \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{p}}_1, \quad c_2 = \tilde{c}_2. \tag{29c}$$

Denote

$$M' \equiv \frac{DR}{D\tilde{R}^T}$$

where $\frac{DR}{DR^{T}}$ is the Jacobi matrix consisting of Frechet derivative of M, M'^* denotes adjoint of M'.

The second Hamiltonian operator of Eq.(26)

$$G_{2} = M\tilde{G}_{1}M^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \Lambda & -\frac{1}{4}\Phi_{1} & \frac{1}{2}\Phi_{2} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 2\Phi_{1}^{T} & -\frac{1}{2}q_{1} & -4\rho_{1} - \partial_{t} & 0\\ -\Lambda & 2\Phi_{1} & 0 & \frac{1}{4}\Phi_{2} & g_{35} & 0\\ \frac{1}{4}\Phi_{1}^{T} & \frac{1}{2}q_{1} & -\frac{1}{4}\Phi_{2}^{T} & \frac{1}{8}\partial_{t} & g_{45} & 0\\ -\frac{1}{2}\Phi_{2}^{T} & 4\rho_{1} - \partial_{t} & -g_{35} & -g_{45} & g_{55} & \partial_{t}q_{1}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{1}\partial_{t} & 2\partial_{t} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(30)$$

where

$$\begin{split} g_{35} &= \frac{1}{2} q_1 \Lambda \Phi_1 - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^2 \Phi_1 - \frac{3}{8} q_1^2 \Phi_1 - c_2 \Phi_1 + \frac{1}{4} \Phi_1 \langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle + (\frac{\mu_1}{\varphi_{1j}^3}, \cdots, \frac{\mu_N}{\varphi_{1N}^3})^T \\ g_{45} &= -\frac{1}{2} c_1 + \frac{1}{4} \langle \Lambda \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle - \frac{3}{8} q_1 \langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} q_1 c_2 + \frac{1}{8} q_1^3 \\ g_{55} &= \partial_t (\frac{1}{4} \langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle - \frac{1}{2} c_2) + (\frac{1}{4} \langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle - \frac{1}{2} c_2) \partial_t - \frac{1}{4} q_1 \partial_t q_1. \end{split}$$

Thus we get the bi-Hamiltonian structure for Eq.(26a)-(26c)

$$R_{x} = G_{1} \frac{\delta F_{1}}{\delta P} = G_{2} \frac{\delta F_{0}}{\delta P}, \quad F_{0} = 2c_{1}.$$
 (31)

Thank you for your attention!